



Louis P. Herzberg  
IBM Corporation  
Intellectual Property Law Dept.  
P.O. Box 218  
Yorktown Heights NY 10598

Paper No. 8

**COPY MAILED**

**SEP 09 2005**

**OFFICE OF PETITIONS**

In re Application of  
HAYMES et al.  
Application No. 09/840,617  
Filed: April 23, 2001  
Attorney Docket No. YOR91998-167US3

DECISION  
ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed August 11, 2005, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely manner to a Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment mailed November 3, 2003, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of one (1) month. No extensions of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) were obtained. Accordingly, the application became abandoned on December 4, 2003.


The petition satisfies the conditions for revival pursuant to the provisions of 37 CFR 1.137(b) in that (1) the reply in the form of an Amendment with all claims mentioned in ascending order, including canceled claims, as required by 37 CFR 1.121(c)(1); (2) the petition fee of \$1500; and (3) the required statement of unintentional delay have been received. Accordingly, the reply to the non-final action of November 3, 2003 is accepted as having been unintentionally delayed.

It is not apparent whether the person signing the statement of unintentional delay was in a position to have first hand or direct knowledge of the facts and circumstances of the delay at issue. Nevertheless, such statement is being treated as having been made as the result of a reasonable inquiry into the facts and circumstances of such delay. See 37 CFR 10.18(b) and Changes to Patent Practice and Procedure; Final Rule Notice, 62 Fed. Reg. 53131, 53178 (October 10, 1997), 1203 Off. Gaz. Pat. Office 63, 103 (October 21, 1997). In the event that such an inquiry has not been made, petitioner must make such an inquiry. If such inquiry results in the discovery that it is not correct that the entire delay in filing the required reply from the due date for the reply until the filing of a grantable petition pursuant to 37 CFR 1.137(b) was unintentional, petitioner must notify the Office.

There is no indication that the person signing the instant petition was ever given a power of attorney to prosecute the above-identified application. If the person signing the instant petition desires to receive future correspondence regarding this application, the appropriate power of attorney must be submitted. While a courtesy copy of this decision is being mailed to the person signing the instant petition, all future correspondence will be directed to the address currently of record until such time as appropriate instructions are received to the contrary.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Denise Pothier at (571) 272-4787.

The application matter is being forwarded to Technology Center Art Unit 2683 for further processing.

  
Frances Hicks  
Petitions Examiner  
Office of Petitions

cc: Eric Petraske  
68 Old Hawleyville Road  
Bethel, CT 06801